UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,240	10/14/2005	Kenji Kato	050638	1081
	7590 03/16/2014 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/553,240	KATO ET AL.	
Examiner induced interview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1796	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Rej</u>	ected	
(1) <u>Daniel S. Metzmaier</u> .	(3)		
(2) Daniel A. Geselowitz, Ph.D.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2010</u>	Time: ~ 9:15 AM		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed amendment 112, 2 nd paragraph in the Final Action. A copy of the prop	nt to claim 9 that would obviate	e the rejection un ow.	der 35 USC
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claims 9-10 and 12 under 35 USC 112, 2 nd paragraph	oh.		
Claims discussed: Claim 9.			
Prior art documents discussed: None.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Daniel S. Metzmaier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that the amendment to claim 9 filed 16 February 2010 did not obviate the rejection 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph in the Final Action because the amendment does not clarify "what" is measured, "how" the conductivity is measured, and/or "how" the "second fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system" is "controlled" to result in the electric conductivity. It was futher noted that the amendment filed 16 February 2010 does not make clear what is measured. The examiner indicated the disclosure in paragraph bridging pages 29 and 30 disclose the measure of the ultrafiltrate. A proposed claim amendment was discussed that would obviate the rejection 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. The examiner noted that any subsequent After Final Amendment should cancel the non-elected and withdrawn claims.

Claim 9 (Currently amended): A process for producing a fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a waterorganic solvent system which comprises:

a reaction step of allowing an aqueous silver nitrate solution to react with a mixed solution of an aqueous iron(II) sulfate solution and an aqueous sodium citrate solution to form an agglomerate of fine silver particles;

a filtration step of filtering the resultant agglomerate of fine silver particles to obtain a cake of the agglomerate of fine silver particles;

a dispersion step of adding pure water to the cake to obtain a first fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system in which dispersion the fine silver particles have been dispersed in the pure water;

a concentration and washing step of concentrating and washing the first fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system to obtain a second fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system; and

a dilution and viscosity modifying step of adding an organic solvent to the second fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system; wherein

[[in]] <u>after</u> the concentration and washing step, the second fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water system, <u>when subjected to ultrafiltration</u>, has an ultrafiltrate that has an <u>controlled such that the</u> electric conductivity solvent of the dispersion, exclusive of the fine silver particles, is of 200 µS/cm or less;

in the dilution and viscosity modifying step, said organic solvent comprises dimethyl sulfoxide, and the fine silver particles in said fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water-organic solvent system are in a concentration of from 10% by weight to 70% by weight; and

said fine silver particle colloidal dispersion of a water-organic solvent system does not contain any polymeric dispersing agent.